Electronic mean free path in as-produced and purified single-wall carbon nanotubes
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The effect of purification on room temperature electronic transport properties of single-wall nanotubes (SWNT) was studied by submerging the nanotubes into liquid mercury. The conductance plots of purified SWNTs showed plateaus, indicating weak dependence of the electrical resistance on the length of the tube connecting the electrodes, providing evidence of quasiballistic conduction in SWNTs. The electronic mean free path of the purified SWNTs reached a few microns, which is longer than that of the as-produced SWNTs, and which is consistent with the calculation based on the scattering by acoustic phonons. © 2005 American Institute of Physics.
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Measurements of electronic transport in single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNT) (Refs. 1 and 2) have shown a potential for various applications, e.g., nanosized electronic devices. To obtain optimal performance, it is desirable to use SWNTs with as low level of disorder as possible. A common method of SWNT production is laser ablation, but as-produced nanotubes are covered with impurities such as amorphous carbon, which act as scattering centers in electronic transport. We found that a purification process leads to an improvement of SWNTs’ transport properties. In this study, we report how this process affects transport properties, especially the electronic mean free path (EMFP), of SWNTs. To determine the EMFP of individual nanotubes, we submerged samples into liquid mercury (Hg) and measured the variation in conductance. We find that the EMFP is much larger in the purified samples than in as-produced ones. The purified samples show quasiballistic electronic transport with the EMFP reaching a few microns, which is consistent with the calculation based on the scattering by acoustic phonons.

The SWNT soot was synthesized using a Ni/Co catalyst by laser ablation at 1200 °C. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, HF2000, Hitachi) showed that SWNTs in the as-produced soot were covered with impurities, e.g., amorphous carbon [Fig. 1(a)]. The as-produced soot was purified using H2O2, HCl, and NaOH solutions and heated at 650 °C at a pressure of 10−2 Pa for 1 h. The purified soot consisted of clean-surface SWNTs with a diameter of 1.4 nm [Fig. 1(b)]. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, S4700, Hitachi) revealed SWNT-ropes protruding from the soot [Fig. 1(c)]. Lovall et al. reported that a single nanotube protrudes from the tip of a SWNT-ropes.12

Our measurements, which provide the transport properties of a single SWNT, were made using a piezodriven nanotubing system at room temperature in air [Fig. 2(a)]. A piezopositioner allowed gentle and reproducible contact between the nanotubes and the Hg counter electrode.11 The soot sample was attached to a metallic mobile electrode (probe) using silver paste. The probe was then attached to the piezopositioner with a displacement range of 20 μm (17PAZ005, MELLES GRIOT). To make electrical contact between the sample and Hg, the probe was driven cyclically up and down with a peak-to-peak amplitude of 2–10 μm and a frequency of 0.1–1 Hz. A potential of 180 mV was applied between the probe and Hg electrodes. The current was measured as a function of piezopositioner displacement with a typical sampling rate of 1000 points/s using an analog-to-digital converter (NI6120, National Instruments).

Figure 3(a) shows a conductance plot $G(x)$ of the purified SWNT sample, normalized by the conductance quantum unit, $G_0=2e^2/h=(12.9 \text{ kΩ})^{-1}$, where $e$ is the elementary charge and $h$ is Planck’s constant. Here $x$ represents the pi-
directly contact the mobile probe. Instead, it is connected to the nanotube probe through a metallic wire that is not perfectly in contact with the probe and the Hg. This deviation from theory can be explained using the concept of contact resistance. In our experiment, we did not observe the 2G0 conductance step. The step size of 1G0 was observed, which is consistent with the expected conductance for an ideal metallic nanotube having perfect contact with electrodes. The absence of the 2G0 step suggests that the contact resistance is responsible for the small deviation from the expected conductance.

If a diffusive metallic wire is connected to two bulk electrodes, the resistance of the system is proportional to the length of the wire. In our experiment, we observed essentially flat plateaus on the G(x) plot, which provides evidence for quasi-ballistic transport in purified SWNTs at room temperature. Although a 2G0 conductance step is expected for an ideal metallic nanotube having perfect contact with electrodes, we did not observe the 2G0 conductance step. The step size of 1G0 was observed, which is consistent with the expected conductance for an ideal metallic nanotube having perfect contact with electrodes. The absence of the 2G0 step suggests that the contact resistance is responsible for the small deviation from the expected conductance.

Using the first plateau in the G(x) plot, we estimated the resistance per unit length (ρ) of the SWNTs. For this, the G(x) was converted into the resistance R(x)=1/G(x). The resistance can be approximated as R(x)=Rc+ρx, where Rc is the contact resistance. The linear fitting in Fig. 3(b) provides Rc = 51 kΩ and ρ = 2.4 kΩ/μm. The value of ρ obtained from 28 traces measured on four different purified soot samples falls in the range 20–100 kΩ. The ρ of the carbon nanotube is related to the EMFP (l) as ρ = (h/4e2) × (1/l). This equation establishes that the EMFP of the purified SWNTs falls in the range 0.4–9.7 μm. Figure 4(a) shows a histogram of the obtained EMFP, in which the distribution peak is found at ~1.5 μm, which is consistent with the reported value measured using an atomic force microscope (1.6 μm). The as-produced SWNTs (25 traces out of five different soot samples) had a higher Rc (150–1000 kΩ) and a shorter EMFP (0.01–2.2 μm) compared to those of the purified SWNTs. In the EMFP histogram of the as-produced samples [Fig. 4(b)], the distribution peak is found at ~0.3 μm. Thus the strong enhancement of the electronic mean free path in purified tubes is confirmed.

The differences in conduction properties between the as-produced and the purified samples can be explained in terms of impurity effects. In the as-produced samples, electrons have to pass through an impurity layer at the tube-to-tube junctions, which raises the contact resistance between the probe and the measured nanotube. The scattering caused by the impurities shortens the EMFP. This finding is further confirmed by measurements using commercially available purified SWNTs sample (HiPco-SWNT, Carbon Nanotechnologies, Inc.)

**FIG. 2.** Schematic diagrams of (a) experimental setup and (b) tube-Hg contact. Here x is the nanotube segment submerged into Hg.

**FIG. 3.** Data obtained from the purified sample. (a) Conductance plot G(x) normalized by Ga=2e2/h and (b) the resistance plot R(x) of the first plateau as a function of piezopositioner displacement (x), measured as the tube is pushed into Hg. The straight line in (b) is the fit given by R(x)=C−ρx. Here C is one fitting parameter (C=Rc) and ρ is the other fitting parameter representing the resistance per unit length of the tube. In this example, C = 51 kΩ and ρ = 2.4 kΩ/μm.

**FIG. 4.** Histogram of electronic mean free path for (a) purified SWNTs, (b) as-produced SWNTs, and (c) commercially available purified SWNTs (HiPco-SWNT) supplied by Carbon Nanotechnologies, Inc.
gies, Inc.), which have a clean-surface like our purified samples. The HiPco-SWNT samples (25 traces out of three different soot samples) had an $R_c$ (50–150 kΩ) and an EMFP (0.2–13 μm), and the EMFP histogram had a peak at ~1.5 μm [Fig. 4(c)]. These results are consistent with those of our purified SWNT samples.

To determine whether the experimental results are consistent with the theoretical mean free path, we calculated the electron acoustic-phonon scattering rate under the assumption that the tube has a metallic armchair structure with a (10,10) chiral index, corresponding to a diameter of 1.37 nm. The electron–acoustic phonon scattering rate $1/\tau_{ac}$ can be expressed as

$$1/\tau_{ac} = \frac{8\pi^2}{h} \frac{\Xi}{3} \left( \frac{k_B T}{2\sigma v_F^2} \right) \frac{1}{h v_F} = \frac{v_F}{l_{ac}},$$

where $\Xi \approx 5$ eV is the deformation potential [Ref. 9 and Eq. (5) in Ref. 17], $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $T$ is the temperature, $\sigma=3.2 \times 10^{-15}$ kg/m is the nanotube mass per unit length, $v_F=1.5 \times 10^6$ m/s is the acoustic phonon velocity, $v_F=8 \times 10^5$ m/s is the Fermi velocity, and $l_{ac}$ is the electron acoustic-phonon scattering mean free path. Using this formula, we obtain $l_{ac} \approx 2$ μm, which is in good agreement with the distribution peaks found in the purified SWNT and the HiPco-SWNT samples (Fig. 4). Thus we conclude that in these samples the EMFP is mainly limited by scattering by acoustic phonons.

In summary, we measured the variations in the conductance of SWNTs by submerging as-produced and purified SWNT samples into Hg. The results show that purification leads to a significant improvement in the EMFP, reaching a few microns at room temperature. The obtained EMFP is consistent with the results of the calculation based on scattering by acoustic phonons.