Shanghai Jiao Tong University
Lecture 2
2017

Anthony J. Leggett
Department of Physics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
and
Director, Center for Complex Physics
Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Reminder: the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)$ in classical mechanics

The equation of motion of a charged particle in an electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$ and magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$:

$$m\frac{d\boldsymbol{v}}{dt} = e(\boldsymbol{E} + \mathrm{v} \times \boldsymbol{B})(+\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{non-em}})$$

How to find $H(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{p})$ s.t.

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{r}}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}}\ , \qquad \frac{d\boldsymbol{p}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}} \quad ?$$

Solution: define $A(\boldsymbol{r},t)$ s.t.

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)}{\partial \boldsymbol{t}}\ , \quad \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)$$

(hence $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} = \partial \mathbf{B}/\partial \mathrm{t}$)

↑ Faraday

and put

$$H(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{p}) = \big(\boldsymbol{p} - e\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)\big)^2/2m \quad (+V_{non-em})$$

This works! [Recall:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{A}, \quad \big(\mathbf{v} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A})\big)_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \mathbf{v}_{\boldsymbol{j}}\partial_{\boldsymbol{i}}\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{j}} - (\mathbf{v}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla})A_{\boldsymbol{i}}]$$

note: $\mathbf{v} \equiv \dfrac{d\boldsymbol{r}}{dt} = \dfrac{\partial H}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} = \dfrac{1}{\boldsymbol{m}}\big(\boldsymbol{p} - eA(\boldsymbol{r}t)\big) \qquad (\neq \boldsymbol{p}/m)$

so $H(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{p}) = \dfrac{1}{2}m\mathbf{v}^2 =$ kinetic energy (only)! (but expressed in terms of **p** and $\boldsymbol{A}$).

Quantum mechanics:

$\boldsymbol{p} \rightarrow -\boldsymbol{i}\hbar\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ so KE is

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{H}}_{\boldsymbol{K}} = (-i\hbar\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\boldsymbol{A})^2/2m$$

and so, including possible $\mathrm{V}_{non-em} \equiv \mathrm{V}(r)$,

$$\widehat{H} = \frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\hbar\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\boldsymbol{A}(rt)\right)^2 + \mathrm{V}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

In CM (classical mechanics), all effects obtainable from $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)$ are equally derivable only from $\boldsymbol{E}(\boldsymbol{r}t)$ and $\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{r}t)$ $\Rightarrow$ vector potential redundant. In QM (quantum mechanics) this is not true: $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r}t)$ has a "life of its own"!

Single charged particle on thin ring

If field $\boldsymbol{B}$ through ring is uniform, can take

$$\boldsymbol{A} \equiv A_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \;, A_\theta \equiv \frac{1}{2} BR$$



then flux Φ through ring is

$$\Phi \equiv \pi R^2 B \Rightarrow A_\theta = \Phi / 2\pi R$$

TISE for $\psi \equiv \psi(\theta)$ is

$$\hat{H}\psi(\theta) \equiv \frac{1}{2m}\left(-i\hbar\boldsymbol{\nabla} - e\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r})\right)^2 \psi(\theta) = E\psi(\theta)$$

only nonzero component of $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ is $\boldsymbol{\nabla_\theta} = \frac{1}{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$

and only component of $\boldsymbol{A}$ is A_θ, so

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2}\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \frac{e}{\hbar}A_\theta R\right)^2 \psi(\theta) = E\psi(\theta)$$

or putting $A_\theta = \Phi/2\pi R$ and defining $\Phi_o^{sp} \equiv h/e$ ← (single-particle) flux quantum

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2}\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \Phi/\Phi_o^{sp}\right)^2 \psi(\theta) = E\psi(\theta)$$

↑ $= \hat{L}_z$ (angular momentum in units of $\hbar$)

Formal solution is

$$\psi(\theta) = \exp ik\theta \;, (k \text{ arbitrary}), \quad E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2}\left(k - \Phi/\Phi_o^{Sp}\right)^2$$

However, crucial point:

$\psi(\theta)$ must be single-valued, i.e. $\psi(\theta + 2n\pi) = \psi(\theta)$ (SVBC)

single-valuedness boundary condition ↗

Hence, only allowed values of k are integers $\ell = 0, \pm1, \pm2$ ...

(i.e. angular momentum $\hat{L}_z$ is quantized in units of $\hbar$)

Thus,

$$\psi_\ell(\theta) = \exp i\ell\theta \,, \quad \ell = 0, \pm1, \pm2 \,,$$

$$E_\ell = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2}\left(\ell - \Phi/\Phi_o^{sp}\right)^2$$

$$j_\ell = \frac{e\hbar}{m/R}\left(\ell - \Phi/\Phi_o^{sp}\right)$$

For $\Phi < \Phi_o^{sp}/2$,

GS has $\ell = 0 \Rightarrow p_\theta \equiv L_z/R = \ell\hbar/R = 0$

However, recall:

$$\mathbf{v} = (\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{eA})/m \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_\theta = -eA_\theta/m$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{j}_\theta \equiv e\mathrm{v}_\theta = -(e^2/m)A_o \neq 0$ in general, in sense to produce magnetic field opposite to $\boldsymbol{B} \Rightarrow$ GS is diamagnetic,

$$\mathbf{j}_\theta = -(e^2/m)A_\theta \neq 0$$

Single charged particle on ring: two notes

1. What is the situation in classical mechanics?

We can still formally introduce $\ell \equiv L_z/\hbar$ and write

$$E(\ell) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2mR^2}\left(\ell - \Phi/\Phi_o^{Sp}\right)^2$$

but now there is no restriction on ℓ (SVBC is meaningless since no wave function!) so now GS always corresponds to $\ell = \Phi/\Phi_o^{sp}$, equivalent to $\mathbf{j}_\theta = 0$ (no diamagnetism).



However, consider time-dependent problem: since motion is restricted to ring, Lorenz force $\mathbf{v} \times \boldsymbol{B}$ is irrelevant and we have by Newton II

$$m\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{E}(t) = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t}$$

or

$$m\frac{d\mathrm{v}_\theta}{dt} = -\frac{dA_\theta}{dt}$$

If at t $= 0 \quad v_\theta = 0$,
solution is simply

$$v_\theta(t) = -(e/m)A_\theta(t)$$



and in particular for $t = t_o$
$v_\theta = -(e/m)A_f \Rightarrow j_\theta = -(e^2/m)A_f$
as in Quantum Mechanics case. However, this is not the lowest-energy state, so scattering by walls, etc. will reduce j_θ to zero.

2. Aharonov-Bohm effect

note induced diamagnetic current depends only on total trapped flux Φ, not on details of how it is produced. Hence in particular can get nonzero effect even when $\boldsymbol{B} = 0$ everywhere on ring! (e.g. $\boldsymbol{B}$ produced by “Helmholtz coil”)



Atomic diamagnetism

To the extent that argument applies, velocity of electrons at radius r given by

$$\mathbf{v}(r) = -eA(r)/m$$

but electric current density $\mathrm{j}(r) = n(r)e\mathbf{v}(r)$, hence

$$\mathbf{j}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{-n(r)e^2}{m}\boldsymbol{A}(r)$$

Circulating current produces magnetic field ΔB opposite to original one.

Estimate order of magnitude: take $A{\sim}BR_{at}, J{\sim}R_{at}^2 j$

$${\sim}R_{at}^2 ne^2 A/m{\sim}(Ne^2/mR_{at})A{\sim}(Ne^2/m)B,$$

$$\Delta B{\sim}\frac{\mu_o J}{R_{at}}{\sim}\left(\frac{Ne^2\mu_o}{mR_{at}}\right)B:$$

$(Ne^2\mu_o/mR_{at}){\sim}10^{-6}$, so effect very small.

Superconductors: London phenomenology

Basic postulate: as in atomic diamagnetism,

$$\mathbf{j}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{-ne^2}{m} A(\boldsymbol{r})$$

Combine with Maxwell's equation

$$\mathbf{j} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{H} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu_o} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B} = \frac{1}{\mu_o} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}) = -\frac{1}{\mu_o} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{A}$$

(div $\boldsymbol{A} = 0$)

gives

$$\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{A} = +\frac{ne^2}{m} \mu_O \boldsymbol{A}$$

or taking curl.

$$\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{B} = \frac{ne^2 \mu_O}{m} \boldsymbol{B} \equiv \boldsymbol{\lambda_L^{-2} B}$$

London penetration depth

Hence, both in atomic diamagnets and in superconductors,

$$B \sim B_o \exp - \mathrm{z}/\lambda_L$$

($\mathrm{n}(r)$, hence λ_L, comparable in two cases)

Qualitative difference: in both cases $\lambda_L \sim 10^{-5}$cm, but:

in atomic diamagnets, $\lambda_L \gg$ atomic size $\Rightarrow$ effect very small

in superconductors, $\lambda_L \ll$ size of sample $\Rightarrow$ effect spectacular: magnetic field totally excluded from bulk (Meissner effect)

Problems with London phenomenology

A. Meissner effect is thermodynamically stable phenomenon, circulating supercurrents on metastable. Hence London argument does not explain stability of supercurrents! (↑: beware misleading statements in literature)

B. No explanation of vanishing Peltier coefficient.

C. Why do not all metals show Meissner effect?

Let's turn question C around: when does Meissner effect not occur?

1. Classical systems:

no restriction on $v_\theta \equiv (p_\theta - eA_\theta)/m$, and by Maxwellian statistical mechanics $P(v_\theta) \propto \exp \frac{1}{2} m v_\theta^2 / kT$, hence from symmetry $\bar{v} = 0 \Rightarrow$ no circulating current $\Rightarrow$ no diamagnetism (Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem)

2. Quantum Mechanics, but noninteracting particles obeying classical statistics: now p (or angular momentum L) is quantized

$$L = \ell\hbar\,, \qquad \ell = \;\ldots -2, -1, 0, 1, 2\,\ldots$$

and energy $\propto \left(\ell - \Phi/\Phi_o^{sp}\right)^2 \hbar^2/2mR^2 \quad \Phi_o^{sp} \equiv h/e$

so

$$P(\ell) \propto \exp - \left\{\left(\ell - \Phi/\Phi_o^{sp}\right)^2 \cdot \hbar^2/2mR^2 k_B T\right\} \quad \left(\Phi \lesssim \Phi_o^{sp}\right)$$

Crucial point: under normal circumstances $k_B T \gg \hbar^2/2mR^2$, so can effectively replace discrete values of ℓ by continuum $\Rightarrow$ back to classical mechanics.*

3. So, will only get Meissner effect if for some reason all or most particles forced to be in same state. Then the probability of angular momentum ℓ for this state is

$$P(\ell) \propto \exp\; - N_o(\ell - \Phi/\Phi_o)^2\, \hbar^2/2\mathrm{m}R^2 k_B T$$

↑ Number of particles in same state

and provided $k_B T$, though $\gg \hbar^2/2mR^2$, is $\ll N\hbar^2/2mR^2$, can get results similar to atomic diamagnetism.

Does this ever happen? Yes, e.g. for noninteracting gas of bosons!

*Doesn't work for atomic diamagnetism because $\hbar^2/2mR^2$ is $\sim eV$, hence $\gg k_B T$.

Electron volts ↗

Summary of lecture 2:

(1) In presence of electromagnetic vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r})$, Hamiltonian for single particle of charge e is

$$\widehat{H} = p\left(\frac{-i\hbar\boldsymbol{\nabla} - }{2m}eA(r)\right)^2 + V(r)$$

(2) For single particle on ring, in flux $\Phi < \frac{1}{2}h/e$, this leads in ground state to $j_\theta = -(e^2/m)A_\theta$

(3) For a closed-shell atom, similar argument leads to

$$j(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{-n\,(r)}{m}e^2 A(\boldsymbol{r}) \qquad \text{(diamagnetism)} \qquad (*)$$

(4) London phenomenology: assume (*) also describes superconductor

$\Rightarrow$ Meissner effect

(5) Difficulty: doesn't work for classical systems (Bohr – van Leeuwen theorem) nor (for $kT \gtrsim \hbar^2/mR^2$) for quantum systems obeying Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics

(6) Difficulty can be overcome if for some reason
all particles forced to behave in same way.