Superconducting tunneling spectroscopy of a carbon nanotube quantum dot
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We report results on superconducting tunneling spectroscopy of a carbon nanotube quantum dot. Using a three-probe technique that includes a superconducting tunnel probe, we map out changes in conductance due to band structure, excited states, and end-to-end bias. The superconducting probe allows us to observe enhanced spectroscopic features, such as robust signals of both elastic and inelastic cotunneling. We also see evidence of inelastic scattering processes inside the quantum dot.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) in the quantum dot regime—where confined electrons have discrete energy spectra—can demonstrate interesting physics such as electron-hole symmetry and Kondo effects. CNTs also form the basis of prominent schemes for implementing solid-state quantum devices, such as quantum current standards. Typical studies of CNT quantum dots involve tunneling between the end contacts, a two-terminal measurement. However, there are significant advantages to performing multiterminal measurements, which are not as dominated by a highly variable coupling to the leads. While multiterminal measurements on CNTs have been demonstrated with scanned probes and molecular leads, lithographically fabricating probes allows for the possibility of utilizing multiple probes of varying materials. For example, superconducting probes are known to enhance spectroscopic features and enable unusual effects such as magnetic field induced tunneling of spin polarized electrons. Interesting results, such as split Kondo resonances, and multiple Andreev reflections have been reported in two-terminal quantum dot devices with superconducting leads. In this letter, we report three-terminal tunneling spectroscopy measurements of a CNT quantum dot, where tunneling occurs via a lithographically fabricated superconducting probe. Although contacts above or below the CNT typically create major defects in or even cut the tube, the probe we use is largely noninvasive. We show that the superconducting tunnel probe allows otherwise invisible spectroscopic features to be observed, and also enables a more complete quantum dot spectroscopy which includes bias energy effects. The observation of such features as cotunneling and inelastic scattering is relevant to the use of CNTs as metrological or quantum devices.

To create the device [shown in Fig. 1(a)], CNTs were grown via chemical vapor deposition from lithographically defined Fe catalyst islands on a degenerately doped Si wafer having 1 μm of thermally grown oxide. Scanning electron microscopy was used to locate the CNTs, which were then contacted at both ends with Pd/Au at device lengths of 1.7 μm. The entire wafer was then coated with 1.2 nm of Al₂O₃ via atomic layer deposition (ALD). ALD deposition of the insulator allows for manipulation of the tunnel barrier strength via layer-by-layer thickness control, and is gentle enough to not create substantial defects in the CNT. Finally, electron beam lithography was used to pattern 200 nm thick, 200 nm wide Pb tunneling probes, capped with 30 nm of In (to protect from oxidation), over the middle of the device. Devices are stable at room temperature for several weeks, but the tunnel probes do not typically survive thermal cycling. Measurements were performed in a He cryostat.

Conductance data show that after fabrication of the superconducting tunnel probe, the CNT remains a single, largely defect-free quantum dot. Figure 1(b) shows the end-to-end zero-bias conductance of a metallic device at 250 mK as a function of back gate voltage, V_g. The well defined Coulomb blockade peak structure occurs because of the finite energy required to add each electron to the quantum dot. The sets of four peaks are a signature of fourfold periodicity in the CNT energy levels, due to two subbands and a twofold degenerate spin. The subband mismatch, δ, can be seen in the separation of groups of two within the sets of four peaks. Figure 1(c) shows the energy level spectrum of CNT quantum dot, showing subband mismatch δ and energy level spacing ΔE.

FIG. 1. (Color) (a) On left, side view of device geometry. On right, SEM image of a typical device, with diagram of the measurement circuit. (b) End-to-end conductance as a function of back gate voltage. (c) Expected energy level spectrum of CNT quantum dot, showing subband mismatch δ and energy level spacing ΔE.
The data in Fig. 2 show fourfold periodicity similar to the end-to-end zero-bias conductance in Fig. 1(b). While resonant tunneling lines make up the diamonds, excited states are also visible [denoted by red stars in Fig. 2(b)]. These are due to conduction through an additional energy level as the tunnel bias is increased. The data is consistent with the expected stability diagram, shown in Fig. 2(b). Using the diamond structure to characterize the quantum dot, we find charging energy $U_c \sim 2.1$ meV, total capacitance $C_S \sim 80$ aF, band mismatch $\delta \sim 0.4$ meV, CNT-backgate capacitance $C_g \sim 5.0$ aF, CNT-tunnel probe capacitance $C_{\text{tunnel}} \sim 53$ aF, CNT source plus drain capacitance $C_d \sim 22$ aF, and level spacing $\Delta E \sim 1.6$ meV. The level spacing is close to that estimated by quantized energy spacing $\Delta E \sim h \nu_F/2L \sim 1.2$ meV for a 1.7 $\mu$m long CNT.

Tunneling via a superconducting probe allows us to observe large enhancements in conductance near the superconducting gap edge. This occurs because the normalized superconducting DOS, $n_s(E) \sim \Re[E]/(E^2-\Delta^2)^{1/2}$, is sharply peaked function which effectively magnifies the tunneling current. In particular, we are able to observe both elastic and inelastic cotunneling processes [blue and orange arrows in Fig. 2(a)], which in this case are invisible when using a normal metal probe. Cotunneling events are higher order tunneling processes that involve the simultaneous tunneling of multiple electrons. Elastic cotunneling, which leaves the dot in the same state, dominates at low bias and results in a conductance peak when the Fermi levels of the two contacts are aligned. With a superconducting lead, this happens when the Fermi level of the normal lead is aligned with the superconducting gap edge, yielding enhanced peaks at $V_{\text{tunnel}} = \pm \Delta/e$ [see Fig. 2(c)]. Inelastic cotunneling, which leaves the quantum dot in an excited state, only occurs when the bias is greater than the energy needed to put the dot in the first available excited state. Thus we see enhanced inelastic cotunneling conductance peaks when $V_{\text{tunnel}} = \pm (\Delta + \delta)/e$ [see Fig. 2(c)].

The measurement setup for conductance through the superconducting probe is shown in Fig. 1(a): a dc voltage, $V_{\text{tunnel}}$, with an ac excitation, $V_{\text{ac}}$, was applied between the superconducting tunnel probe and one end contact, and the resultant current was read out through a current preamplifier into a lock-in amplifier. A gate voltage, $V_g$, could be applied to the back of the silicon substrate while a floating bias voltage, $V_{\text{sd}}$, could be applied from end-to-end of the CNT. Figure 2(a) shows the tunneling conductance on a log scale as a function of $V_{\text{tunnel}}$ and $V_g$ at $V_{\text{sd}}=0$. The Coulomb diamond structure is similar to what has been previously observed, with the striking exception of a zero conductance stripe that splits the diamond pattern and is consistent with the Pb superconducting gap, $2 \Delta \sim 2.6$ meV. The clarity of the gap indicates a high-quality tunnel junction. The usual “closed” diamond pattern is evident when the superconducting probe is made normal with a magnetic field, as shown in the top inset of Fig. 2(a). It is also evident in Fig. 2(a) that the tops and bottoms of the diamonds are offset. This is because the tunneling probe also has a gating effect (we also see a weak offset between the top and bottom vertices of the end-to-end diamonds due to source-drain capacitance).

FIG. 2. (Color) (a) Differential conductance between the superconducting tunnel probe and an end lead as a function of tunnel bias and back gate voltage (with end-to-end bias $V_{sd}=0$). The Pb superconducting gap, $\Delta$, and the band mismatch, $\delta$, are labeled. Blue and orange arrows point to signals of elastic and inelastic cotunneling, respectively. Inset: Similar measurement with an applied magnetic field, showing that the cotunneling lines are absent when superconductivity is destroyed. (b) Expected stability diagram. Red stars indicate excited states. (c) Schematic of one of the possible elastic (left) and inelastic (right) cotunneling processes.

peaks. A schematic of the corresponding electronic energy level spectrum for a CNT quantum dot is shown in Fig. 1(c). The data show that the size of the dot is consistent with the distance between the end leads (see below). If the tunnel probe had created a significant defect in the CNT, the spacing of the Coulomb blockade peaks—particularly the fourfold periodicity—would have been much more irregular.

The measurement setup for conductance through the superconducting probe is shown in Fig. 1(a): a dc voltage, $V_{\text{tunnel}}$, with an ac excitation, $V_{\text{ac}}$, was applied between the superconducting tunnel probe and one end contact, and the resultant current was read out through a current preamplifier into a lock-in amplifier. A gate voltage, $V_g$, could be applied to the back of the silicon substrate while a floating bias voltage, $V_{\text{sd}}$, could be applied from end-to-end of the CNT. Figure 2(a) shows the tunneling conductance on a log scale as a function of $V_{\text{tunnel}}$ and $V_g$ at $V_{sd}=0$. The Coulomb diamond structure is similar to what has been previously observed, with the striking exception of a zero conductance stripe that splits the diamond pattern and is consistent with the Pb superconducting gap, $2 \Delta \sim 2.6$ meV. The clarity of the gap indicates a high-quality tunnel junction. The usual “closed” diamond pattern is evident when the superconducting probe is made normal with a magnetic field, as shown in the top inset of Fig. 2(a). It is also evident in Fig. 2(a) that the tops and bottoms of the diamonds are offset. This is because the tunneling probe also has a gating effect (we also see a weak offset between the top and bottom vertices of the end-to-end diamonds due to source-drain capacitance).

The data in Fig. 2 show fourfold periodicity similar to the end-to-end zero-bias conductance in Fig. 1(b). While resonant tunneling lines make up the diamonds, excited states are also visible [denoted by red stars in Fig. 2(b)]. These are due to conduction through an additional energy level as the tunnel bias is increased. The data is consistent with the expected stability diagram, shown in Fig. 2(b). Using the diamond structure to characterize the quantum dot, we find charging energy $U_c \sim 2.1$ meV, total capacitance $C_S \sim 80$ aF, band mismatch $\delta \sim 0.4$ meV, CNT-backgate capacitance $C_g \sim 5.0$ aF, CNT-tunnel probe capacitance $C_{\text{tunnel}} \sim 53$ aF, CNT source plus drain capacitance $C_d \sim 22$ aF, and level spacing $\Delta E \sim 1.6$ meV. The level spacing is close to that estimated by quantized energy spacing $\Delta E \sim h \nu_F/2L \sim 1.2$ meV for a 1.7 $\mu$m long CNT.

Tunneling via a superconducting probe allows us to observe large enhancements in conductance near the superconducting gap edge. This occurs because the normalized superconducting DOS, $n_s(E) \sim \Re[E]/(E^2-\Delta^2)^{1/2}$, is sharply peaked function which effectively magnifies the tunneling current. In particular, we are able to observe both elastic and inelastic cotunneling processes [blue and orange arrows in Fig. 2(a)], which in this case are invisible when using a normal metal probe. Cotunneling events are higher order tunneling processes that involve the simultaneous tunneling of multiple electrons. Elastic cotunneling, which leaves the dot in the same state, dominates at low bias and results in a conductance peak when the Fermi levels of the two contacts are aligned. With a superconducting lead, this happens when the Fermi level of the normal lead is aligned with the superconducting gap edge, yielding enhanced peaks at $V_{\text{tunnel}} = \pm \Delta/e$ [see Fig. 2(c)]. Inelastic cotunneling, which leaves the quantum dot in an excited state, only occurs when the bias is greater than the energy needed to put the dot in the first available excited state. Thus we see enhanced inelastic cotunneling conductance peaks when $V_{\text{tunnel}} = \pm (\Delta + \delta)/e$ [see Fig. 2(c)]. The transition to the inelastic regime can be sharper than the characteristic lifetime broadening of the QD states, and can thus be used to get a more accurate measurement of $\delta$ than would be possible from the resonant tunneling lines. The amplitudes of cotunneling processes also have important implications for the error rates of devices such as single electron transistors, and set a limit on the accuracy of metrological devices. Weak inelastic cotunneling has been previously observed in CNTs, and weak elastic cotunneling in CNTs has only recently been seen in a two-terminal device with superconducting leads. The robust signals allow us to measure the elastic and inelastic cotunneling currents at $V_{sd}=0$ as $I_{\text{el-co}} \sim 3.7$ pA and $I_{\text{in-co}} \sim 11$ pA, respectively. The corresponding electron cotunneling rates are $\Gamma_{\text{el-co}} \sim 2.3 \times 10^3$ s$^{-1}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{in-co}} \sim 7 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$. While the magnitudes of the tunneling rates depend on the DOS of the leads, the ratio of elastic to inelastic tunneling should be independent of the leads. Notably, when the tunnel probe is made normal by a magnetic field [inset of Fig. 2(a)], we do not see any cotunneling features in the Coulomb diamonds.

In addition to the observation of enhanced spectroscopic features, the three-terminal measurement allows us to directly determine the effect of end-to-end bias on the quantum dot spectrum. Figure 3(a) shows tunneling differential conductance from the superconducting tunnel probe to the...
CNT on a log scale as a function of $V_{\text{tunnel}}$ and $V_{g}$ while $V_{sd}=0.8$ mV applied across the ends. The features are similar to those for $V_{sd}=0$ [Fig. 2(a)], which indicates that the energy spectrum of the dot is largely unchanged. However, another set of peaks, separated by the Pb gap energy but offset by $V_{sd}$, also appears [see red lines in Fig. 3(b)]. These additional conduction lines show up when energy states of the CNT align with the Fermi level of the left end contact at $E=-eV_{sd}$.\(^2\) Therefore, demonstrating that the end-to-end bias can be spectroscopically determined. The resonant tunneling to both end leads through the same energy level is separated by $V_{sd}$ in the vertical direction and $(C/C_g)V_{sd}$ in the horizontal direction, where $C$ is the total capacitance of the nanotube. From this we find $C_g \sim 6.4$ aF, which agrees well with the value from the slopes of resonant tunneling lines.

When a bias is applied across the ends of the CNT we observe conductance inside the superconducting gap [see Fig. 3(c)], even though $V_{sd}=0.8$ mV is smaller than the gap energy of $\sim 2\Delta/e=2.6$ mV. The conductance in the gap is surprising since it should be suppressed exponentially,\(^22\) and is not observed when $V_{sd}=0$ [see Fig. 2(a)]. It is possible that a finite source-drain bias across the tube enhances the inelastic scattering of electrons, creating excited electrons and holes that can tunnel above and below the gap, respectively, and thus create a nonzero tunnel current, $I_{\text{sc}}$. From Fig. 3(c) we find $I_{\text{sc}} \sim 4-6$ pA, which sets a lower bound on the inelastic scattering rate $\Gamma_{\text{in}}$ of $\Gamma_{\text{in}} \sim I_{\text{sc}}/e \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-7} - 3.8 \times 10^{-7}$ s\(^{-1}\). This scattering rate is typically estimated experimentally via level broadening, which often only gives an upper bound because of thermal broadening effects. The mechanism for the enhanced scattering remains unknown and will be investigated in the future.

In summary, we have described the fabrication and measurement of a device consisting of a noninvasive superconducting tunnel probe over the middle of a clean, contacted CNT quantum dot. The use of a superconducting probe enhanced tunneling signals, and spectroscopy using this three-terminal device allowed the effects of bias to be determined. These results open the door to a better understanding of the mechanisms behind weak, second-order processes in systems like CNT quantum dots, and allow for a better assessment of such systems’ use in practical devices.
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16. The log scale makes weak inner gap features visible, but cuts off negative differential conductance signals inside the gap [see Fig. 3(c)] and just outside the ground and excited state lines in the Coulomb diamonds.
17. Main figure and inset show different regions because before the field was applied the conductance in the region of interest changed significantly. But in other gate ranges we consistently observed cotunneling that disappeared when the probe was made normal with a field.